

## Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/665,880 First Named Applicant: Alexander Gidon  
Examiner: Paul Dinh Art Unit: 2825 Status of Application: Pending

### Tentative Participants:

- (1) Paul Dinh (examiner) (2) Jack Chiang (supervisor)  
(3) Dan Hubert, Reg. 33,906 (4) Alexander Gidon (inventor)

Proposed Date of Interview: 1-22-2009 Proposed Time: 1pm EST AM/PM

### Type of Interview Requested:

- (1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: N/A

### Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) _____	_____	<u>Selvidge</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

### Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:

Arguments addressing why claims are patentably distinguished over art of record.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.

**NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).**

**This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.**

/Dan Hubert/

\_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Dan Hubert

\_\_\_\_\_  
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

33,906

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner/SPE Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Registration Number, if applicable